Pennant Walters Ltd

Mynydd Glyn Wind Farm

Draft Environmental Statement

Appendix 2A Carbon Balance

This report was prepared by WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited (formerly known as Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited), company registration number 02190074, which is carrying out these services as a subcontractor and/or agent to Wood Group UK Limited

October 2022

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

Appendix 2A
Carbon Balance

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

1. Introduction

1.1.1 The 2017 Town and Country (Environmental Impact Assessment) (EIA) Regulations[1] require consideration of the impact of the Proposed Development on climate (for example the nature and magnitude of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions) and the vulnerability of the Proposed Development to climate change (climate change resilience (CCR)).

1.1.2 This appendix reports on the carbon balance calculation that has been completed for the Proposed Development. The assessment determines the benefit of the Proposed Development in terms of reduced carbon emissions compared to a reference energy mix. This is considered in the context of carbon budgets and targets for Wales and the UK, aligned to a trajectory compatible with limiting the increase in global average temperature below 1.5°C. This includes consideration of GHG emissions in the production, transportation, erection, operation and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Development.

1.1.3 Given the inherent carbon benefit of wind farms, a standalone GHG Environmental Statement (ES) chapter is not required. The Scottish Government Carbon Calculator Tool[2] has been used for the carbon balance calculation, in line with advice given by Planning and Environmental Decisions Wales (PEDW) within Scoping Directions for other Welsh wind farms. The Carbon Calculator Tool is designed for applications for the construction and operation of onshore windfarms in Scotland located where peat is present. The Carbon Calculator Tool has been used as it is considered to be the most reliable tool for estimating the carbon payback time associated with the Proposed Development. The calculated mean depth of recorded peat at the Proposed Development Site is 0.13 m and the Welsh Government define 'true peat' as being ≥0.4 m in depth. The Site is generally not underlain by peat. A localised peat bog is present to the west of the summit of Mynydd y Glyn (with depths ranging from 2.4 m to a maximum surveyed depth of at least 4.10 m) and infrastructure has been sited to avoid disturbance in this area. The Proposed Development will avoid significant impacts on peat through design, based on peat survey results to date and additional peat survey completed in October 2022.

1.1 Climate change resilience

1.1.4 As agreed with PEDW through the Scoping Direction, a standalone assessment of CCR has not been completed as part of the EIA. The projected impacts of climate change on the Proposed Development are considered in relevant sections of the following Draft ES chapters:

- **Chapter 6: Landscape and Visual**;
- **Chapter 8: Biodiversity**;
- **Chapter 10: Water Environment**:
  - Flood Consequence Assessment (**Appendix 10A**).
- **Chapter 11: Ground Conditions**.

[1] *Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Wales) Regulations 2017* [online]. Available at: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/wsi/2017/567/contents [Accessed 14 September 2022].
[2] Scottish Environment Protection Agency (2020). *Carbon Calculator Tool v1.6.1* [online]. Available at: https://informatics.sepa.org.uk/CarbonCalculator/index.jsp [Accessed 14 September 2022].

1.1.5 The design of the Proposed Development will consider climate projections for a variety of environmental parameters (e.g. rainfall, temperature, etc.) to ensure that appropriate mitigation measures are embedded within the design. The worst case climatic conditions at the end of the design life of the Proposed Development will be considered. Climate change impacts will be considered within the detailed design of the Proposed Development where appropriate.

1.1.6 The vulnerability to climate change measures are summarised in **Section 7: Climate Change Resilience**.

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

2. Renewable Energy Policy Context

2.1.1 **Chapter 5: Legislative and Policy Overview** provides an overview of the applicable renewable energy policy and strategies that the proposals should have regard to. This includes the relevant UK wide and Welsh legislative and policy framework for the development of renewable energy schemes. Current legislation, national policies, and local policy and guidance recognise climate change as a pressing concern. GHG emissions are expected and required to reduce in the future.

2.1.2 The approach taken by the UK and Wales to addressing climate change has been shaped and informed by a range of international agreements and climate change obligations including the Kyoto Protocol[3], the Paris Agreement[4] and the 2021 Glasgow Climate Compact[5] reflecting the UK's role as a signatory to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

2.1.3 The UK Government has set a net zero target which requires the UK to reduce GHG emissions by 100% below 1990 levels by 2050[6], this being the UK position in terms of meeting international obligations to reduce carbon emissions. The UK carbon budgets[7] require the UK to continually reduce emissions in line with the net zero target. Wales is also committed to a net zero target for 2050, and has interim targets for 2030 and 2040, and a series of 5-year carbon budgets.[8]

[3] UNFCC (1998). *Kyoto Protocol* [online]. Available at: https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/kpeng.pdf [Accessed 14 September 2022].
[4] UNFCC (2015). *Paris Agreement* [online]. Available at: https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english_paris_agreement.pdf [Accessed 14 September 2022].
[5] UNFCC (2021). *Glasgow Climate Pact* [online]. Available at: https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/cop26_auv_2f_cover_decision.pdf [Accessed 14 September 2022].
[6] *The Climate Change Act 2008 (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019* [online]. Available at: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2019/1056/contents/made [Accessed 14 September 2022].
[7] *The Carbon Budgets Order 2009* [online]. Available at: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2009/1259/contents/made [Accessed 14 September 2022].
[8] Welsh Government (2021). *Climate change targets and carbon budgets* [online]. Available at: https://gov.wales/climate-change-targets-and-carbon-budgets [Accessed 14 September 2022].

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

3. Scope and Receptors

3.1.1 The scope of the assessment of GHG emissions associated with the Proposed Development includes GHG emissions from all activities within the Site, arising from the construction, operation, maintenance and decommissioning phases, as well as the GHG emissions associated with material processing and transportation of materials and labour outside of the Site.

3.1.2 GHG emissions have a global effect rather than directly affecting any specific local receptor to which a level of sensitivity can be assigned. The global climate is the only receptor for the climate change assessment.

3.1.3 Given the global impacts of climate change and the globally recognised requirement to limit GHG emissions to maintain global average temperature increase below 1.5°C to 2°C, as laid out in the Paris Agreement[4], the receptor is considered highly sensitive to GHG emissions.

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

4. Potential Energy Contribution of the Proposed Development to Government Objectives

4.1 Energy Yield

4.1.1 The installed capacity of a wind turbine is a measure of its maximum rated output, which in the context of the Proposed Development is an estimated 24.2 MW (assuming 7 x 3.45 MW machines). Calculations of the likely electricity generation of the turbines are dependent on the 'capacity factor', which involves an assessment of the actual output of the Proposed Development against its installed capacity[9].

4.1.2 On this basis, and with an estimated installed capacity of 24.2 MW, the amount of electricity to be produced by the Proposed Development has been estimated to be 59.7 GWh per year based on the Welsh onshore wind capacity factor of 28.2% (average over the last 5 years 2017-2021)[10].

4.1.3 This 28.2% capacity factor has been used to calculate potential annual energy yield for the Proposed Development, shown in **Table 4.1**.

4.2 Carbon Dioxide Savings and Electricity Generation

4.2.1 It is widely accepted that electricity produced from wind energy has a positive benefit with regard to reducing carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions. However, there has been much debate about the actual level of emissions savings that might arise from a wind farm development.

4.2.2 In estimating the actual saving it is important to consider the mix of alternative sources of electricity generation, for example, coal, oil and gas powered. Digest of UK Energy Statistics (DUKES) (July 2022) sets the static figure of emission related with electricity generated by 'all non-renewable fuels' at 432 tonnes of CO_2 for every GWh generated[11]. A figure of 432 tonnes of CO_2 savings per GWh has therefore been assumed for the purposes of this assessment, with savings of CO_2 estimated on the basis of the capacity factor.

4.2.3 The Department of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) produces a range of statistics detailing electricity consumption across the UK. The average domestic consumption in the UK, was 3,880 kWh per household in 2020[12].

[9] The net capacity factor of a wind farm is the ratio of its actual energy output (after energy losses within the wind farm have been accounted for) over a defined period of time (typically a year) to its energy output, had it operated at maximum power output continuously, over the same period of time.
[10] Department of Energy and Climate Change (2022). *Long term average figures for Wales and the UK - Energy Trends Section 6: Renewables (ET6.1 Renewable Electricity Capacity and Generation, July 2022.* [online]. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/437811/et6_1.xls [Accessed 15 September 2022].
[11] Renewable UK (2022). *Wind Energy Statistics Explained* [online]. Available at: https://www.renewableuk.com/page/UKWEDExplained [Accessed 15 September 2022].
[12] BEIS (2022). *Energy consumption in the UK 2021 – July 2022 update* [online]. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/energy-consumption-in-the-uk-2021 [Accessed 15 September 2022].

4.2.4 The electricity generated by the Proposed Development will enter the National Grid, and therefore cannot be tracked to the individual consumer. Therefore, it is relevant to consider electricity demand in the context of UK as a whole, rather than within the area surrounding the Proposed Development.

4.2.5 The potential electricity generation and 'Homes Equivalent' electricity generation (based on 3,880 kWh annual domestic consumption in UK) are provided in **Table 4.1.** The potential CO_2 savings as a result of the Proposed Development generating electricity instead of conventional power stations, with an assumed 432 tonnes of CO_2 for every GWh generated, are also presented.

Table 4.1 Potential electricity generation and CO2 savings

Capacity factor (%)	Electricity generation (MWh per year)[13]	Homes equivalent (based on average consumption)[12]	CO_2 savings (Tonnes of CO_2 per year) based on Renewable UK savings figure[11]
28.2% (Welsh average)	59,658	15,376	25,772

[13] Figures are derived as follows: 24.15 MW × 8,760 hours/year × 0.282 (capacity factor) = 59,658 MWh.

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

5. Carbon Balance of the Proposed Development

5.1 Overview

5.1.1 The following sections outline the specific values for the carbon losses and carbon gains associated with the Proposed Development. For each input parameter (as outlined in **Annex A** to this document), an expected minimum and maximum value is required to provide an expected, minimum and maximum scenario for the carbon payback.

5.1.2 For this application, version 1.6.1 of the online Scottish Government Carbon Calculator Tool[2] was used on 15 September 2022, the reference number is not supplied in this document, but has been communicated separately to relevant consultees.

5.1.3 A table containing the values for each scenario and the justification for the values used for the carbon balance calculations is found at **Annex A.**

5.2 Carbon Losses

5.2.1 The manufacturing, construction and installation (including concrete) of the wind turbines at the Proposed Development has an associated carbon cost. Using figures from the online calculator, the expected case carbon emission losses associated with the manufacture, construction and decommissioning of the 24.2 MW installed capacity, is 20,592 t CO_2 equivalent (t CO_2e), which equates to approximately 56.1% of total CO_2 losses.

5.2.2 The carbon payback model attributes carbon losses due to the requirement for extra capacity to back up wind power generation at times of peak demand. This is quantified as a percentage of total capacity, which was input as 5% for this case (the recommended figure within the model) and equates to 14,280 t CO_2e (i.e. approximately 38.9% of total CO_2 losses).

5.2.3 Carbon losses associated with CO_2 release from soil organic matter for the expected case amount to 1,383 t CO_2e which equates to approximately 3.8% of total CO_2 losses. These losses result from peat removal and drainage effects following excavation for items of infrastructure, notably turbine foundations, hard standings and access tracks. It is worth noting that this figure assumes 100% loss of CO_2 from removed/disturbed peat, as this is the default value within the carbon model and cannot be amended. The calculated mean depth of recorded peat at the Proposed Development Site is 0.13 m and the Welsh Government define 'true peat' as being ≥0.4 m in depth. The Site is generally not underlain by peat. A localised peat bog is present to the west of the summit of Mynydd y Glyn (with depths ranging from 2.4 m to a maximum surveyed depth of at least 4.10 m) and infrastructure has been sited to avoid disturbance in this area. The Proposed Development will avoid significant impacts on peat through design, based on peat survey results to date and additional peat survey completed in October 2022.

5.2.4 Small carbon losses are generated by the reduction of carbon fixing potential which occurs due to the loss of bog plants as a result of wind farm construction. For the expected case, this is 452 t CO_2e, which equates to 1.2% of total carbon dioxide losses.

5.2.5 Total CO_2 losses due to the Proposed Development are 36,707 t CO_2e.

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

5.3 Carbon Gains

5.3.1 There are no carbon gains associated with the Proposed Development.

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

6. Carbon Payback of the Proposed Development

6.1.1 To calculate the carbon payback period, the online calculator uses three different fossil fuel displacement scenarios, which are updated automatically using data from DUKES:

- grid mix, the mix of electricity sources supplying the UK as a whole;
- coal fired for coal fired electricity generation; and
- fossil fuel mix for fossil fuel sourced electricity generation alone.

6.1.2 The carbon calculator[14] recommends using the fossil fuel sourced grid mix scenario as the most appropriate for calculating the carbon payback time (the counterfactual)[15]. Based on this scenario, the payback for the Proposed Development is predicted to be 1.4 years for the expected outcome.

6.1.3 The payback period could be as low as 0.6 years for the minimum scenario but increases to 2.0 years for the maximum scenario for fossil fuel mix and 3.5 years for grid mix. The carbon payback time for each scenario is shown in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Payback in years for each scenario used in the carbon calculator

Fuel source	Carbon payback time (years) Expected value	Carbon payback time (years) Minimum value	Carbon payback time (years) Maximum Value
Coal fired	0.7	0.3	1.0
Grid mix	2.4	1.1	3.5
Fossil fuel mix	1.4	0.6	2.0

[14] Scottish Environment Protection Agency (n.d.) *Carbon Calculator: technical guidance* [online]. Available at: https://www.gov.scot/publications/carbon-calculator-technical-guidance/ [Accessed 14 September 2022].
[15] Note on limitations: wind power will not replace all forms of conventional generation equally, so the true carbon emissions displacement will be dependent on a combination of factors e.g. the types of power generation being replaced, any decrease in efficiency of conventional plant operating at part load, and the impact of any increase in frequency of start-up and shut-down of conventional plant.

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

7. Climate Change Resilience

7.1.1 The vulnerability of the Proposed Development to climate change has been considered in the design and other relevant topic chapters listed in **Section 1.1**. The environmental measures identified in topic assessments related to improving the climate change resilience of the Proposed Development have been reproduced in **Table 7.1**.

Table 7.1 Embedded measures improving climate change resilience

Chapter	Environmental measure	Relevance for climate change resilience
Chapter 4: Project Description	Modern wind turbines are designed to withstand high wind speeds and are normally certified against structural failure for wind speeds up to 150 mph. At high wind speeds, the wind farms will shut themselves down to avoid excessive wear.	These measures increase the resilience of the wind turbines to increasing wind speeds that may be experienced as part of storm events associated with climate change.
	The wind turbines will be fitted with a lightning protection system as part of the design.	These measures increase the resilience of the wind turbines to increasing lightning strikes that may be experienced associated with climate change.
	Occasionally very heavy snow and ice may affect the anemometer or aerodynamics of the turbine blades resulting in temporary automatic shutdown. The wind turbine would restart automatically after accumulations have naturally thawed.	Although climate change trends show increasing mean annual temperatures, cold weather events could still occur. These measures increase the resilience of the wind turbines to cold weather events.
	Turbines and High Voltage equipment (substation) would be inspected and maintained by a local team of technicians. Turbines would be typically maintained at 6 monthly internals.	This allows for adaptative capacity to be built into the operation of the wind turbines. The routine maintenance would identify any impacts to the wind turbines from extreme weather associated with climate change, allowing for replacement or upgrades, if required.
Chapter 6: Landscape and Visual **Chapter 8: Biodiversity**	Habitat loss has been kept to a minimum and areas of blanket bog have been avoided with land take largely confined to grazed semi-improved grassland. Temporary losses associated with working areas will be revegetated and reinstated post-construction. Where vegetation removal takes place within the Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC), an outline Habitat Management Plan (oHMP) will set out	Full habitat management and landscape proposals are currently being designed, early consultation with the local planning authority indicate that proposals are likely to include some peat bog restoration, this habitat is known to capture CO_2 from the atmosphere through photosynthesis.

Chapter	Environmental measure	Relevance for climate change resilience
	management proposals to improve the status of the sites.	
Chapter 10: Water Environment	The temporal scope of the hydrology assessment will consider NPS EN-1 climate change emissions scenarios appropriate for the Proposed Development's lifetime.	Fluvial flood risk is considered to pose a limited risk to the Proposed Development.
Appendix 10A: Flood Consequence Assessment	The proposed drainage strategy includes the incorporation of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). The sizing of the attenuation volumes includes for up to the 1% AEP event including the appropriate allowances for climate change covering the lifetime of the Proposed Development (20% for the construction phase (precautionary upper estimate up to the 2050s) and 40% for the operation phase (precautionary upper estimate up to the 2080s)).	The drainage design and sizing of SuDS to appropriately account for climate change will help prevent damage or deterioration to the assets resulting from extreme precipitation and the action of pluvial flooding.
Chapter 11: Ground Conditions	The design for the Proposed Development will comply with good practice in structural design including compliance with the Eurocodes and relevant British Standards. The design will account for the expected ground conditions and design loads, accounting for the effects of climate change.	The detailed design of the foundations and supports will take into account changing ground conditions for the soil type with fluctuations in rainfall anticipated with climate change.

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

8. Summary

8.1.1 On the basis of potential annual CO_2 savings of 25,772 tonnes/year (based on figure of 432 tonnes of CO_2 savings per GWh and a capacity factor of 28.2%), the Proposed Development could result in a total carbon saving of approximately 0.8 M tonnes over its 30-year operational life and generate electricity to annually supply the equivalent of 15,376 homes.

8.1.2 It is predicted that the carbon loss in developing the Proposed Development would be paid back in ~1.4 years (4.7% of the 30-year operational life) based upon the expected outcome under the fossil fuel mix scenario. Even considering the maximum scenario against the fossil fuel mix, the Proposed Development would have achieved the carbon balance within ~2.0 years (6.7% of the 30-year operational life).

8.1.3 It is concluded that the GHG impact of the Proposed Development will have a significant beneficial effect. The Proposed Development causes an indirect reduction in atmospheric GHG emissions which has a positive impact on achievement of carbon budgets and targets for Wales and the UK, and a 1.5°C compatible trajectory.

8.1.4 The vulnerability of the Proposed Development to climate change has been addressed throughout the Draft ES in relevant topic chapters identified in **Section 1.1**. The design of the wind turbines includes measures to improve the resilience of the Proposed Development, which will continue to be developed throughout the detailed design.

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

Annex A
Carbon Calculator - Justification for Values Used

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

Carbon Calculator v1.6.1
Mynydd y Glyn Location: 51.596889 -3.400361
Pennant Walters

Core input data

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Windfarm characteristics				
Dimensions				
No. of turbines	7	7	7	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.2.1 number of turbines included in Proposed Development.
Duration of consent (years)	30	30	30	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.2.9 operational lifetime is 30 years.
Performance				
Power rating of 1 turbine (MW)	3.45	3.45	3.45	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4 5.8 3.45 MW is the nominal candidate turbine.
Capacity factor	28.2	26.6	31.02	No site specific capacity factor available. Welsh average onshore capacity factor for the last 5 years is 28.2%. UK average onshore capacity factor for the last 5 years is 26.6% (BEIS 2022). Maximum capacity factor estimated as 10% higher than the Welsh factor.
Backup				
Fraction of output to backup (%)	5	0	5	Following the guidance provided by Nayak et al, UK Energy in brief 2013 confirms that wind energy accounts for less than 20% of total national electricity generation therefore 0% could be used however 5% has been used to reflect a worst case scenario 0% is entered as a minimum value.
Additional emissions due to reduced thermal efficiency of the reserve generation (%)	10	10	10	Fixed
Total CO2 emission from turbine life (tCO2 MW^{-1}) (eg. manufacture, construction, decommissioning)	Calculate wrt installed capacity	Calculate wrt installed capacity	Calculate wrt installed capacity	
Characteristics of peatland before windfarm development				
Type of peatland	Acid bog	Acid bog	Acid bog	An 'acid bog' is fed primarily by rainwater and often inhabited by sphagnum moss, thus making it acidic. See Stoneman & Brooks (1997).
Average annual air temperature at site (°C)	9.25	5.76	12.73	Average annual temperature taken for Tredegar, Bryn Bach Park No 2 Met Office station 1991-2020. Expected value calculated using average of minimum and maximum average temperatures.

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Average depth of peat at site (m)	0.13	0	4.1	Peat Survey Factual Report: potential peat depths in the range of between 0.00m and 4.10m. The calculated mean depth of recorded peat was 0.13m. The Welsh Government define true peat as being ≥0.4m in depth.
C Content of dry peat (% by weight)	55	49	62	Calculated using typical values provided in carbon calculator tool.
Average extent of drainage around drainage features at site (m)	7.5	5	10	No site specific measurements available, precautionary values used.
Average water table depth at site (m)	0.3	0.2	0.4	No site specific values available. Values taken from a similar upland site with underlying peat.
Dry soil bulk density (g cm^{-3})	0.25	0.2	0.3	Due to lack of site specific information, indicative figures from National Soil Inventory of Scotland have been used.
Characteristics of bog plants				
Time required for regeneration of bog plants after restoration (years)	3	2	5	Estimated values.
Carbon accumulation due to C fixation by bog plants in undrained peats (tC ha^{-1} yr^{-1})	0.25	0.12	0.31	Default values provided by Turunen et al., 2001; Botch et al., 1995.
Forestry Plantation Characteristics				
Area of forestry plantation to be felled (ha)	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: no forestry felling is expected.
Average rate of carbon sequestration in timber (tC ha^{-1} yr^{-1})	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: no forestry felling is expected.
Counterfactual emission factors				
Coal-fired plant emission factor (t CO2 MWh^{-1})	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Grid-mix emission factor (t CO2 MWh^{-1})	0.25358	0.25358	0.25358	
Fossil fuel-mix emission factor (t CO2 MWh^{-1})	0.45	0.45	0.45	
Borrow pits				
Number of borrow pits	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.39 no borrow pits proposed.
Average length of pits (m)	0	0	0	
Average width of pits (m)	0	0	0	
Average depth of peat removed from pit (m)	0	0	0	
Foundations and hard-standing area associated with each turbine				

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Average length of turbine foundations (m)	0	0	0	
Average width of turbine foundations (m)	0	0	0	
Average depth of peat removed from turbine foundations(m)	0	0	0	
Average length of hard-standing (m)	0	0	0	
Average width of hard-standing (m)	0	0	0	
Average depth of peat removed from hard-standing (m)	0	0	0	
Volume of concrete used in construction of the ENTIRE windfarm				
Volume of concrete (m^3)	0	0	0	
Access tracks				
Total length of access track (m)	5400	4320	6480	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.16 internal wind farm tracks. Minimum and maximum entered as a 20% range to allow for variations.
Existing track length (m)	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.16 internal wind farm tracks.
Length of access track that is floating road (m)	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: no floating tracks. Access tracks avoid peatland.
Floating road width (m)	0	0	0	
Floating road depth (m)	0	0	0	
Length of floating road that is drained (m)	0	0	0	
Average depth of drains associated with floating roads (m)	0	0	0	
Length of access track that is excavated road (m)	5400	4320	6480	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.16. Minimum and maximum entered as a 20% range to allow for variations.
Excavated road width (m)	5	5	5	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.16 internal wind farm tracks.
Average depth of peat excavated for road (m)	0.13	0	0.4	Peat Survey Factual Report: potential peat depths in the range of between 0.00m and 4.10m. The calculated mean depth of recorded peat was 0.13m. The Welsh Government define true peat as being ≥0.4m in depth. Figure 3.0 shows peat depths of 0.00 m to 0.40 m in access track locations.
Length of access track that is rock filled road (m)	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: no rock filled road.
Rock filled road width (m)	0	0	0	

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Rock filled road depth (m)	0	0	0	
Length of rock filled road that is drained (m)	0	0	0	
Average depth of drains associated with rock filled roads (m)	0	0	0	
Cable trenches				
Length of any cable trench on peat that does not follow access tracks and is lined with a permeable medium (eg. sand) (m)	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.21 Assume full length of cable route to follow access track.
Average depth of peat cut for cable trenches (m)	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.21 Assume full length of cable route to follow access track.
Additional peat excavated (not already accounted for above)				
Volume of additional peat excavated (m^3)	821	0	3030	Peat Survey Factual Report: potential peat depths in the range of between 0.00m and 4.10m. The calculated mean depth of recorded peat was 0.13m. The Welsh Government define true peat as being ≥0.4m in depth. Figure 3.0 shows peat depths of 0.00 m to 0.40 m in infrastructure locations.
Area of additional peat excavated (m^2)	6313	5050	7575	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.23 substation compound (37.5 m x 35 m) and 4.5.26 x2 construction compounds (50 m x 50 m). Minimum and maximum entered as a 20% range to allow for variations.
Peat Landslide Hazard				
Peat Landslide Hazard and Risk Assessments: Best Practice Guide for Proposed Electricity Generation Developments	negligible	negligible	negligible	Fixed
Improvement of C sequestration at site by blocking drains, restoration of habitat etc				
Improvement of degraded bog				
Area of degraded bog to be improved (ha)	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: no bog restoration works proposed.
Water table depth in degraded bog before improvement (m)	0	0	0	
Water table depth in degraded bog after improvement (m)	0	0	0	

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Time required for hydrology and habitat of bog to return to its previous state on improvement (years)	0	0	0	
Period of time when effectiveness of the improvement in degraded bog can be guaranteed (years)	0	0	0	
Improvement of felled plantation land				
Area of felled plantation to be improved (ha)	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: no plantation restoration works proposed.
Water table depth in felled area before improvement (m)	0	0	0	
Water table depth in felled area after improvement (m)	0	0	0	
Time required for hydrology and habitat of felled plantation to return to its previous state on improvement (years)	0	0	0	
Period of time when effectiveness of the improvement in felled plantation can be guaranteed (years)	0	0	0	
Restoration of peat removed from borrow pits				
Area of borrow pits to be restored (ha)	0	0	0	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.39 no borrow pits proposed.
Depth of water table in borrow pit before restoration with respect to the restored surface (m)	0	0	0	
Depth of water table in borrow pit after restoration with respect to the restored surface (m)	0	0	0	
Time required for hydrology and habitat of borrow pit to return to its previous state on restoration (years)	0	0	0	

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Period of time when effectiveness of the restoration of peat removed from borrow pits can be guaranteed (years)	0	0	0	
Early removal of drainage from foundations and hardstanding				
Water table depth around foundations and hardstanding before restoration (m)	0	0	0	Assumed no removal of drainage.
Water table depth around foundations and hardstanding after restoration (m)	0	0	0	
Time to completion of backfilling, removal of any surface drains, and full restoration of the hydrology (years)	0	0	0	
Restoration of site after decomissioning				
Will the hydrology of the site be restored on decommissioning?	No	No	No	
Will you attempt to block any gullies that have formed due to the windfarm?	Yes	Yes	No	Assumes that any gullies caused by construction of the wind farm would be blocked to maintain habitats except worst case scenario (maximum column).
Will you attempt to block all artificial ditches and facilitate rewetting?	No	No	No	Assumed no.
Will the habitat of the site be restored on decommissioning?	No	No	No	
Will you control grazing on degraded areas?	Yes	Yes	Yes	If required.
Will you manage areas to favour reintroduction of species	No	No	No	Assumed no.
Methodology				
Choice of methodology for calculating emission factors	Site specific (required for planning applications)			

Forestry input data

N/A

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

Construction input data

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Full area				
Number of turbines in this area	7	7	7	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.2.1 number of turbines included in Proposed Development.
Turbine foundations				
Depth of hole dug when constructing foundations (m)	0.13	0.001	0.4	Peat Survey Factual Report: potential peat depths in the range of between 0.00m and 4.10m. The calculated mean depth of recorded peat was 0.13m. The Welsh Government define true peat as being ≥0.4m in depth. Minimum requires an input >0. Figure 3.0 shows peat depths of 0.00 m to 0.40 m in turbine locations.
Aproximate geometric shape of whole dug when constructing foundations	Circular	Circular	Circular	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.14 circular Figure 4.5. Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.14 dimensions of approximately 20m diameter x 4m depth.
Diameter at bottom	20	20	20	
Diameter at surface	20	20	20	
Hardstanding				
Depth of hole dug when constructing hardstanding (m)	0.13	0.001	0.4	Peat Survey Factual Report: potential peat depths in the range of between 0.00m and 4.10m. The calculated mean depth of recorded peat was 0.13m. The Welsh Government define true peat as being ≥0.4m in depth. Minimum requires an input >0. Figure 3.0 shows peat depths of 0.00 m to 0.40 m in crane pad and turbine locations.
Aproximate geometric shape of whole dug when constructing hardstanding	Rectangular	Rectangular	Rectangular	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: Figure 4.6 = approximately rectangular. Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.15 the total area of hardstanding at each turbine location including the turbine foundations and the crane pad will be sized to suit the turbine manufacturer's requirements but will be approximately 2,500m2. Subtracted area of turbine foundations.
Length at surface	47	47	47	
Width at surface	47	47	47	
Length at bottom	47	47	47	
Width at bottom	47	47	47	

© WSP Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Full area				
Piling				
Is piling used?	No	No	No	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: 4.5.13 it is currently expected that turbines will not require piled foundations.
Volume of Concrete				
Volume of concrete used (m^3) in the entire area	4116	3293	4939	Chapter 4 - Description of the Proposed Development: Table 4.2 estimated total tonnage of concrete is 9800. Amount: 1 tonne (Metric) (t) of mass Equals: 0.42 cubic meters (m3) in volume. 20% variation used for min and max values.